



Understanding Employee Burnout and Its Impact on Knowledge Transfer Effectiveness: Evidence from Service-Based Organizations

Madyastha Aji Bhirawa^{1*}, Risman Nugraha², Muhammad Nurrifqi Fuadi³

¹⁻³ Department of Psychology, Universitas Ary Ginanjar, Indonesia

Email: m.aji.b@esqbs.ac.id¹, risman@esqbs.ac.id², muhammad.nurrifqi.f@uag.ac.id³

*Corresponding Author: m.aji.b@esqbs.ac.id¹

Abstract. Employee burnout has become a critical challenge for service-based organizations, where organizational performance heavily depends on employees' knowledge, expertise, and collaborative capabilities. This study aims to examine the impact of employee burnout on knowledge transfer effectiveness within service-oriented organizations. Using a conceptual literature-based approach, this research explores how burnout dimensions, including emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment, influence employees' willingness and ability to share knowledge. The analysis is grounded in the Conservation of Resources Theory, Job Demands-Resources Theory, Knowledge-Based View, and Organizational Learning Theory to explain the mechanisms linking psychological resource depletion with disrupted knowledge flows. The findings indicate that burnout negatively affects knowledge transfer effectiveness by reducing employee engagement, weakening interpersonal trust, limiting communication quality, and encouraging knowledge-hiding behaviors. Emotional exhaustion encourages employees to preserve remaining psychological resources, while depersonalization reduces social interactions and collaborative learning opportunities. Consequently, organizations experiencing high levels of burnout may encounter knowledge loss, reduced innovation capacity, and declining service quality. This study highlights the importance of organizational support, employee well-being initiatives, and resource management strategies to mitigate burnout and strengthen sustainable knowledge transfer practices in service-based organizations.

Keywords: Employee Burnout; Knowledge Sharing; Knowledge Transfer Effectiveness; Organizational Learning; Service-Based Organizations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The service sector has emerged as a principal driver of global economic development. In contrast to manufacturing enterprises, service-based organizations are predominantly dependent on employees' specialized knowledge, relational competencies, and problem-solving capacities to ensure the provision of high-caliber customer experiences. Accordingly, employees constitute pivotal organizational resources, and their knowledge assets substantially shape organizational effectiveness and competitive positioning. However, the intensely complex nature of service environments often demands continuous adaptation and frequently leads to severe employee burnout and subsequent erosion of vital knowledge-sharing behaviors (Jamali et al., 2025; Rogozińska-Pawełczyk, 2024).

Emotional exhaustion, a core component of this burnout, often triggers disengagement from knowledge sharing, thereby undermining the critical circulation of information required for organizational sustainability (Mahapatra & Ford, 2024). Despite the theoretical acknowledgment of these psychological costs, further empirical evidence is needed to fully link specific burnout dimensions to the degradation of knowledge transfer processes within service-oriented firms. This research gap is particularly pressing given that effective

knowledge circulation is increasingly viewed as an indicator of organizational health, especially in environments where perceived organizational support and self-efficacy are challenged by high-pressure demands (Peng et al., 2023).

Concurrently, service organizations have been witnessing a mounting prevalence of employee burnout. Burnout is defined as a psychological syndrome encompassing emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and diminished personal accomplishment, arising from chronic occupational stress. Employees afflicted by burnout frequently exhibit decreased intrinsic motivation, attenuated organizational commitment, and a diminished propensity to participate in collaborative endeavors, notably knowledge sharing and knowledge transfer. Furthermore, such psychological depletion often fosters knowledge-hiding behaviors as employees conserve their remaining emotional and cognitive resources to cope with chronic workplace stressors (Izhar, 2025). Consequently, this systemic depletion of cognitive capital impedes the intricate process of selecting, assimilating, and integrating organizational knowledge, thereby hindering the effective transfer of information (Škerlavaj et al., 2023).

Knowledge transfer denotes the systematic process by which employees disseminate both explicit and tacit knowledge, thereby facilitating organizational knowledge retention and fostering continuous learning. This process is particularly salient within service organizations, given that service quality is intrinsically contingent upon employees' experiential insights, communicative proficiencies, and cumulative expertise. When the cognitive resources necessary for these interactions are drained by chronic stress, the effectiveness of such transfers is significantly compromised. Building on the Conservation of Resources Theory, this resource depletion forces individuals into a defensive state, where the preservation of residual energy takes precedence over interpersonal collaborative efforts (Wang et al., 2023).

Contemporary empirical investigations suggest that burnout exerts deleterious effects not only on employees' psychological well-being but also on interpersonal communication quality, coworker trust, and collaborative learning dynamics. Consequently, organizations may encounter knowledge attrition, diminished innovative capacity, and deteriorating service performance. Therefore, addressing these psychological barriers is essential to mitigate the high costs associated with poor task execution and reduced organizational productivity (Alkhudhayr & Al-Jabr, 2024). By integrating Conservation of Resources Theory, this study seeks to elucidate the mechanisms through which emotional exhaustion and cynicism drive resource-saving strategies that inhibit organizational knowledge flow (Zhou, 2022).

While extant scholarship has examined burnout and job performance as discrete constructs, scholarly inquiry into the influence of burnout upon knowledge transfer effectiveness within service-based organizations remains limited. Accordingly, the present article endeavors to consolidate recent empirical findings to elucidate the underlying mechanisms through which burnout impinges upon knowledge transfer and organizational learning processes.

In pursuit of this objective, the study is guided by the following research questions: In what manner does employee burnout affect knowledge transfer effectiveness within service-based organizations? Which organizational factors serve as mediators or moderators in the burnout–knowledge transfer relationship? And what managerial interventions may mitigate burnout while concurrently enhancing organizational knowledge transfer?

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Employee Burnout

Employee burnout represents one of the most prominent occupational health challenges faced by modern organizations, with its incidence especially elevated in service-driven enterprises where employees are persistently exposed to emotionally demanding client interactions, continuous affective labor requirements, and intensifying performance expectations. Within the academic literature, burnout is traditionally defined as a multidimensional psychological syndrome that develops in reaction to chronically unmitigated workplace stress. In accordance with the model proposed by Maslach and Freudenberger, this condition is identified by three interrelated manifestations: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and a pronounced decline in personal accomplishment (Silva et al., 2024).

Building on the foundational tripartite model proposed by Maslach and Leiter, the construct comprises three interconnected yet conceptually separable dimensions: emotional exhaustion, which signifies the progressive diminishment of an individual's emotional and physical reserves; depersonalization, marked by the development of cynical, indifferent, or dehumanized attitudes toward both service recipients and professional associates; and reduced personal accomplishment, which manifests as deeply rooted perceptions of professional ineffectiveness and diminishing occupational self-esteem. Contextual stressors such as overwhelming workload, unclear role expectations, and inadequate social support additionally intensify these dimensions, functioning as precipitating factors for the psychological deterioration linked to prolonged occupational strain (Llorca-Pellicer et al., 2021).

When analyzed through the framework of the Job Demands-Resources theory, burnout is theorized to emerge whenever the aggregate job demands encountered by employees systematically and persistently exceed the resources at their disposal for addressing those demands. Continuous exposure to excessive workload pressures, role ambiguity, the demands of emotional regulation, and significant time limitations gradually undermines employees' psychological resources, ultimately reducing intrinsic motivation, organizational involvement, and voluntary workplace behaviors. Moreover, this condition of psychological depletion emerges as a recurring impairment in which the loss of energy directly compromises the social and communicative capacity necessary for productive knowledge sharing (Mahapatra & Ford, 2024).

In their seminal development of this framework, Bakker and Demerouti argue that individuals displaying marked burnout symptoms demonstrate an increased tendency to withdraw from collaborative activities, reduce interpersonal communication, and retreat from voluntary contributions to the organizational collective, thus initiating a series of functionally detrimental outcomes. Additionally, this withdrawal is often mediated by a perceived insufficiency of organizational support, which serves to deepen the depletion of internal resources and amplify the cynical attitudes characteristic of the depersonalization dimension (Mijakoski et al., 2023; Muhammad & McCoy, 2024). Consistent with this viewpoint, contemporary scholarly discussion increasingly differentiates between these central symptoms and diminished professional efficacy, often reclassifying the latter as a peripheral personality characteristic rather than a primary feature of the burnout phenomenon (Chênevert et al., 2021).

Within service-concentrated organizational environments, where knowledge-based interactions form a fundamental pillar of operational effectiveness, the consequences of burnout extend substantially beyond the realm of individual psychological welfare and acquire tangible organizational importance. Employees suffering from burnout symptoms display reduced inclination to offer collegial assistance to peers, engage constructively in team-based decision-making processes, or express and communicate profession-specific experiential knowledge. Accordingly, burnout has the potential to interrupt organizational learning structures, undermine the effectiveness of intra-organizational knowledge transfer mechanisms, and ultimately weaken service quality and competitive organizational performance.

Knowledge Transfer Effectiveness

Knowledge transfer constitutes the organizational process through which information, expertise, and insights are communicated, disseminated, and applied across individuals, work teams, and structural units to enhance collective capability and operational outcomes. Drawing from the Knowledge-Based View, organizational knowledge is regarded as a strategically invaluable resource that confers sustainable competitive advantage, largely because it cannot be readily replicated by rival firms. In particular, the efficacy of knowledge transfer is contingent upon the caliber of social exchanges and the readiness of personnel to participate in transparent, reciprocal dialogue within the organization (Kim & Wang, 2024). Nevertheless, the cognitive and affective depletion symptomatic of burnout materially undermines these interpersonal dynamics by attenuating the motivational foundation essential for engaged knowledge exchange (Wang et al., 2023).

Knowledge transfer spans both codified knowledge, which lends itself to formal documentation and articulation, and tacit knowledge, which resides within the lived experience, technical competencies, and professional discernment of individual employees. In service-oriented organizational settings particularly, tacit knowledge assumes a preeminent function, as employees routinely address intricate client concerns through experiential insight rather than reliance on standardized protocols (Kejžar et al., 2023). Given that the communication of such tacit understanding demands considerable cognitive investment and relational rapport, the psychological fatigue inherent in burnout constitutes a substantial impediment to the unimpeded diffusion of these indispensable experiential resources (Korman et al., 2021; Petru et al., 2023).

The effectiveness of knowledge transfer is typically operationalized through indicators encompassing employees' readiness to disseminate knowledge, the quality of communicative exchanges, the accessibility of organizational knowledge repositories, the extent of knowledge utilization, and the successful integration of acquired knowledge into everyday work routines. Sound knowledge transfer underpins organizational learning, hastens problem resolution, augments innovation capacity, and sustains ongoing organizational advancement. Moreover, the proficient coordination of these internal transactions including knowledge acquisition, dissemination, and application serves as a cornerstone for enhancing productivity and maintaining an organization's capacity to respond adeptly to fluctuating external challenges (Teoh et al., 2023).

At the same time, productive knowledge transfer is inextricably linked to the quality of interpersonal relationships, mutual trust, open communication, and employee motivation. Consequently, any organizational condition that compromises these social mechanisms including burnout may considerably diminish the overall effectiveness of knowledge transfer. Additionally, the development of a "knowledge-hiding" orientation frequently arises from this psychological shortfall, wherein employees deliberately retain their expertise as a means of preserving their dwindling personal reserves (Groulx et al., 2024; Guo et al., 2021).

The Relationship Between Employee Burnout and Knowledge Transfer Effectiveness

Although burnout has historically been investigated with respect to job performance, turnover intention, and employee well-being, emerging scholarship indicates that burnout also bears significant implications for organizational knowledge management. Employees afflicted by emotional exhaustion commonly endeavor to preserve their residual psychological resources by curtailing discretionary behaviors, such as mentoring colleagues, engaging in cooperative learning endeavors, and voluntarily imparting work-related knowledge. This retraction of cognitive and emotional commitment undermines the social capital indispensable for efficacious knowledge exchange, given that the interpersonal trust and relational cohesion necessary to enable seamless informational circulation become substantially attenuated (Abinbuhaybeha, 2023; Arshad et al., 2024).

Furthermore, depersonalization diminishes interpersonal trust and social interaction, both of which constitute essential antecedents of efficacious knowledge transfer. Employees who develop emotional detachment from their colleagues exhibit a diminished propensity to communicate openly or to exchange valuable experiential insights, thereby constraining organizational learning opportunities. Moreover, persistent interpersonal distrust and perceptions of injustice emanating from such exhaustion frequently precipitate knowledge-hiding behaviors, which engender a profound crisis of trust within teams and obstruct the collective diffusion of tacit resources (Hao et al., 2022; Wang & Wang, 2023). Consequently, this defensive orientation cultivates a Darwinian work atmosphere wherein employees compete to preserve individual existence at the expense of collaborative objectives, ultimately debilitating the knowledge-sharing mechanisms essential for organizational performance (He et al., 2021; Jena & Swain, 2021).

In addition, diminished personal accomplishment attenuates employees' confidence in proffering ideas and expertise. Employees who perceive themselves as inefficacious may be reluctant to disseminate knowledge owing to apprehensions of censure or the conviction that their contributions lack substantive merit. This psychological withdrawal manifests as a

deliberate conservation of remaining energy, as individuals eschew the additional cognitive burden required to articulate complex insights while concurrently experiencing professional inadequacy (Sun et al., 2024).

Collectively, these psychological responses compromise communication quality, diminish collaborative conduct, and ultimately reduce organizational knowledge transfer effectiveness. Given that service organizations depend extensively on continuous knowledge exchange to sustain service quality and operational efficiency, burnout may meaningfully jeopardize organizational performance by disrupting knowledge continuity. This deterioration of collective competence is further compounded by the emergence of cynicism, which progressively erodes the relational synergy requisite for intricate problem-solving in high-touch service environments. Within such contexts, the depletion of cognitive and emotional reserves not only curtails individual professional contributions but also precipitates widespread organizational knowledge hoarding (Javed et al., 2023).

Organizational Learning as the Underlying Mechanism

Organizational learning theory posits that firms attain competitive advantage through the ongoing acquisition, dissemination, interpretation, and application of knowledge, with knowledge transfer serving as the principal conduit through which individual insights are converted into organizational capability. Nevertheless, when burnout interferes with these iterative processes, it impedes the conversion of personal understanding into collective resources, consequently arresting the organization's capacity for innovation and adaptation (Tanveer, 2024). This deterioration of the 4I framework encompassing intuiting, interpreting, integrating, and institutionalizing demonstrates that employees experiencing burnout exhibit a reduced propensity to participate in team deliberations, thereby compromising the cognitive integration essential for effective organizational learning.

When employees engage in active knowledge exchange, organizations build collective proficiency that underpins innovation, flexibility, and sustained improvement. By contrast, burnout disrupts this learning continuum by curtailing employee involvement in collaborative learning endeavors. This constriction of interpersonal knowledge circulation constitutes a substantial obstacle to cultivating learning organizations, since the erosion of psychological safety precludes employees from participating in the continuous, transparent discourse necessary for creative problem resolution (Hong et al., 2022). Consequently, persistent burnout operates as a structural barrier to organizational learning cultures, engendering cynicism and undermining the collaborative cohesion essential for unified team functioning (Alkhudhayr & Al-Jabr, 2024; Wenying, 2023).

A number of empirical investigations have established that knowledge sharing mediates the link between employees' psychological states and organizational innovation. Individuals exhibiting lower burnout levels typically display heightened engagement in organizational learning, more robust collaborative conduct, and superior innovation outcomes. Conversely, the absence of organizational trust often intensified by such conditions of depletion sustains a fragmented environment defined by deficient communication and reluctance toward collective transformation (Ahmad et al., 2024). Ultimately, this institutional disaggregation obstructs the successful translation of individual expertise into a strategic organizational resource, thereby arresting the adaptive capacities requisite for confronting competitive market dynamics (Naqshbandi et al., 2023).

Accordingly, organizational learning furnishes a significant theoretical rationale for comprehending how burnout ultimately shapes organizational effectiveness via its influence on knowledge transfer. By conceptualizing burnout as a pivotal impediment to the 4I process, scholars can more fully appreciate how the exhaustion of individual cognitive reserves culminates in a systemic incapacity to harness organizational knowledge as a strategic asset (Głód et al., 2023; Urién et al., 2021). This viewpoint corresponds with the recognition that appropriate human resource management practices must accord primacy to employee well-being in order to preserve the knowledge acquisition, dissemination, and retention mechanisms indispensable for enduring organizational performance (Rupčić, 2024).

3. RESEARCH METHOD

This study adopts a narrative literature review methodology to systematically synthesize and critically appraise extant scholarship investigating the nexus between employee burnout and knowledge transfer efficacy within service-oriented organizational contexts. This methodological approach is appropriate due to its capacity to integrate heterogeneous theoretical perspectives and empirical findings across disciplinary boundaries, thereby affording a holistic comprehension of multifaceted organizational dynamics. The search systematically retrieved scholarly contributions from established academic repositories, namely Scopus, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, Emerald Insight, Taylor & Francis Online, Wiley Online Library, and Google Scholar.

The retrieval protocol employed a combination of keywords including employee burnout, knowledge transfer, knowledge sharing, organizational learning, service organizations, psychological safety, employee well-being, and knowledge management, systematically integrated through Boolean operators. To safeguard relevance and

contemporaneity, the review was limited to peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2020 and 2025. Inclusion criteria mandated direct examination of burnout phenomena and their ramifications for knowledge transfer, organizational learning, or knowledge-sharing behavior in occupational settings, while conference proceedings, dissertations, and non-peer-reviewed publications were systematically excluded (Clough et al., 2017).

The shortlisted studies underwent rigorous thematic analysis, facilitating the discernment of recurrent thematic patterns, conceptual frameworks, and empirical observations germane to employee burnout and knowledge transfer effectiveness. The synthesis was organized according to the study's tripartite guiding research questions, namely: the manner in which employee burnout impinges upon knowledge transfer efficacy, the organizational determinants that mediate or moderate this relationship, and the managerial strategies amenable to attenuating burnout while concomitantly amplifying knowledge transfer within service-based organizations. By mapping these conceptual clusters, the review clarifies how emotional exhaustion and occupational stress prevalent in service-intensive sectors directly impede the knowledge-sharing behaviors necessary for organizational resilience (Jamali et al., 2025).

The emergent findings were subsequently interpreted through the conceptual prisms of the Job Demands-Resources Theory, the Knowledge-Based View, and Organizational Learning Theory, thereby establishing a robust conceptual scaffolding for explicating the mechanisms whereby burnout shapes employees' volitional disposition and intrinsic capacity to engage in knowledge dissemination. This analytical underpinning further enabled the derivation of pragmatic recommendations for organizations endeavoring to fortify knowledge management practices while fostering employee well-being within the exigencies of progressively dynamic service environments. Furthermore, this methodological rigor ensures that the subsequent synthesis provides a nuanced understanding of how burnout-induced cognitive depletion systematically disrupts the social and technical processes inherent in the conversion of tacit knowledge into explicit organizational routines (Jamali et al., 2025).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

RQ1. How Does Employee Burnout Influence Knowledge Transfer Effectiveness in Service-Based Organizations?

The scholarly literature converges on a broad consensus that employee burnout exerts a profoundly deleterious effect on the efficacy of knowledge transfer within service-oriented organizational contexts. Burnout, conceptualized through its three constitutive dimensions

emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment progressively diminishes employees' willingness and capacity to participate in knowledge-sharing activities. Individuals suffering from emotional exhaustion typically adopt resource-preservation strategies, consequently restricting their involvement in discretionary behaviors such as mentoring, experiential exchange, and cooperative problem-solving.

Additionally, depersonalization cultivates a cynical estrangement from professional engagements, directly eroding the social capital indispensable for productive knowledge exchange (Pluut et al., 2021). Concurrently, reduced personal accomplishment frequently engenders a perception among individuals that their contributions are negligible, thereby suppressing the proactive articulation of tacit knowledge critical for service innovation (Karkavandi et al., 2022). Beyond these individual-level psychological ramifications, burnout commonly precipitates defensive knowledge-hiding conduct and psychological withdrawal, as employees strive to safeguard their diminishing cognitive and emotional reserves .

In turn, this psychosocial deterioration undermines the established cognitive capacity and coordination necessary for team-based knowledge management (Donate et al., 2023). Such erosion of psychological safety effectively suppresses the exchange of vital organizational insights, rendering employees progressively unwilling to engage in the collaborative dialogue essential for sustained innovation (Tanpoco & Cordova, 2023). This impairment is particularly severe in service environments, wherein the effective transformation of tacit knowledge into codified organizational practices hinges substantially on the proactive engagement and interpersonal trust of employees (Patel et al., 2021).

In service organizations, where customer-facing interactions and tacit knowledge form the operational foundation, knowledge transfer is fundamentally contingent upon pervasive interpersonal communication (Singh et al., 2021). Burnout, however, degrades communicative quality by attenuating employee engagement, responsiveness, and collaborative disposition. Employees manifesting depersonalization tend to develop affective distance from colleagues, thereby generating diminished interpersonal trust and narrowed opportunities for the exchange of substantively valuable knowledge. This estrangement is further compounded by interpersonal avoidance and a marked lack of concern for collective problem-solving, effectively constraining the generation of novel ideas (Azimi et al., 2024; Singh et al., 2021).

Furthermore, this psychological withdrawal reframes knowledge sharing from a collaborative responsibility into a burdensome obligation, prompting individuals to safeguard their expertise as a defensive mechanism to avert further depletion of emotional resources (Pluut et al., 2021). Such defensive hoarding patterns, grounded in conservation of resources

principles, represent a strategic endeavor to alleviate work-related stress, ultimately constraining the organization's capacity for breakthrough innovation (Hu et al., 2023; Wei et al., 2025). This systematic shift toward knowledge concealment not only impedes the dissemination of organizational expertise but also initiates a deleterious cycle wherein knowledge seekers, encountering nonresponsiveness or misleading information, progressively internalize deviant behaviors and upward silence (He et al., 2021).

In addition, reduced personal accomplishment erodes employees' self-efficacy with respect to contributing ideas and disseminating expertise. This phenomenon not merely constrains individual knowledge contributions but also compromises the organization's aggregate learning capability. Consequently, organizations may encounter knowledge attrition, protracted decision-making processes, deteriorating service quality, and impaired innovation outcomes. Consistent with the Job Demands–Resources framework, the adoption of such "escape coping strategies" by burned-out personnel although conferring momentary relief further accelerates this deterioration by obstructing the collaborative confrontation of complex professional challenges (Gkontelos et al., 2025; Huang & Wang, 2024).

Ultimately, this emotional and cognitive workplace silence serves as a salient demoralizing force that degrades both individual task performance and collective innovative behavior (Wu et al., 2023). Empirical findings indicate that knowledge hiding not only impedes organizational learning but also explicitly correlates with diminished creativity and constrained innovative work behaviors among staff (Iqbal et al., 2022). Moreover, such withdrawal cultivates a climate of learned incompetence, wherein the reluctance to engage in organizational learning processes constitutes a primary catalyst for the depletion of dynamic capabilities.

These observations align with the Job Demands–Resources Theory, which posits that disproportionate job demands progressively deplete employees' psychological reserves, thereby curtailing proactive work behaviors such as knowledge sharing and collaborative learning (Gkontelos et al., 2025). Accordingly, burnout warrants recognition not solely as an individual health concern but as an organizational exigency imperiling knowledge continuity and sustained competitive advantage. Consequently, cultivating a culture of psychological safety and systemic support is essential to counteract the manifestation of organizational silence, as the suppression of input directly degrades the agility and decision-making efficacy of service-oriented enterprises.

RQ2. What Organizational Factors Mediate or Moderate the Relationship Between Burnout and Knowledge Transfer?

The scholarly literature delineates a constellation of organizational mechanisms that either explicate or attenuate the deleterious relationship between burnout and knowledge transfer efficacy. Among these, communication quality, psychological safety, organizational learning, and knowledge-sharing behavior surface as particularly consequential mediating constructs. Specifically, organizational climate and support architectures can mitigate the repercussions of work exhaustion on knowledge concealment by attenuating the mediating effects of emotional exhaustion on knowledge hiding (Hao et al., 2022). Moreover, extant scholarship has demonstrated that deviant silence operates as a pivotal mediator, bridging the chasm between performance-related pressures a frequent antecedent of burnout and the consequent proliferation of knowledge hoarding and concealment behaviors (Bari et al., 2025).

Communication quality constitutes the inaugural pathway through which burnout encroaches upon knowledge transfer. Personnel experiencing burnout characteristically communicate with diminished frequency and efficacy, thereby constraining opportunities for the exchange of tacit knowledge. This curtailment in communicative exchange concomitantly attenuates knowledge-sharing behavior, directly impairing organizational learning and knowledge transfer effectiveness. Furthermore, the incorporation of digital instruments, although frequently designed to optimize operational workflows, can paradoxically intensify these communicative impediments by functioning as a digital job demand that amplifies burnout and further discourages interpersonal engagement (Jamali et al., 2025).

Beyond these technological considerations, an organizational culture that cultivates silence emerges as a potent mediator, wherein apprehension regarding reprisals compels individuals to withhold critical insights, thereby entrenching the deleterious impact of emotional exhaustion on collective knowledge circulation (Lainidi et al., 2025; Surguladze et al., 2023). This recursive dynamic intimates that employee silence operates as a primary antecedent of burnout, which subsequently diminishes contextual performance and accelerates withdrawal cognitions (Shaukat & Khurshid, 2021). Additionally, structural determinants such as abusive supervision have been documented to intensify this emotional depletion, generating conditions wherein personnel proactively conceal knowledge as a defensive rejoinder to perceived occupational threats (Rubbab & Khursheed, 2023).

Psychological safety emerges as a significant moderating construct identified across the literature (Aslam et al., 2026). When personnel perceive that they can articulate ideas, acknowledge errors, and solicit clarification without apprehension of censure or recrimination,

they remain more disposed to exchange knowledge notwithstanding workplace strain. Psychological safety accordingly cushions the pernicious consequences of burnout by sustaining interpersonal trust and collaborative conduct (Agarwal & Anantatmula, 2021). Furthermore, resilience functions as a critical individual-level buffer, empowering employees to adapt to occupational adversity and attenuating proclivities toward evasive knowledge concealment even within high-pressure milieus (Kao et al., 2025).

Transformational leadership likewise assumes a consequential moderating function. Leaders who extend emotional support, acknowledge employee contributions, and stimulate participation contribute to reducing emotional exhaustion while fortifying employees' motivation to collaborate (Bosak et al., 2021). Analogously, perceived organizational support augments employees' resilience by engendering sentiments of appreciation and belonging, thereby perpetuating knowledge-sharing behaviors even amid demanding occupational conditions. Additionally, the deliberate cultivation of empathetic leadership and robust psychosocial safety climates serves as an essential safeguard, forestalling the resource depletion that frequently characterizes burnout in the digital epoch.

Furthermore, an organizational learning culture buttresses continuous knowledge exchange by embedding collaboration within quotidian work practices (Shukla et al., 2023). Digital collaboration technologies and knowledge management systems additionally streamline knowledge transfer by diminishing communicative barriers and enabling personnel to access organizational knowledge with greater efficacy (Nakash, 2024). However, the utility of these systems remains contingent upon the underlying social architecture, as coworker support acts as a critical buffer that enables personnel to collectively navigate stressors and alleviate the risks of exhaustion (Fraboni et al., 2023).

Collectively, these observations demonstrate that burnout does not inexorably precipitate ineffective knowledge transfer. Rather, its organizational ramifications predominantly hinge upon whether supportive organizational resources are available to counterbalance the psychological strain encountered by personnel. This investigation underscores that job resources, encompassing psychological empowerment and organizational embeddedness, are instrumental in preventing the onset of emotional exhaustion (Zhou & Chen, 2021). By cultivating environments that attenuate resource depletion through targeted support mechanisms, organizations can foster positive knowledge behaviors in high-pressure work settings (Xu et al., 2025).

Additionally, organizations that accord primacy to training and development initiatives for supervisors can proactively cultivate the trust and mutual respect requisite for sustaining these knowledge-sharing flows even during periods of intensified organizational pressure (Malik et al., 2023). Such interventions assume particular salience for small and medium enterprises, wherein the organizational architecture frequently necessitates elevated levels of interdependency to nurture the innovation essential for sustained growth (Nakanishi, 2024). Ultimately, harmonizing organizational safety protocols with data-driven intelligence regarding employee well-being equips leaders to anticipate burnout manifestations before they critically compromise the firm's intellectual capital (Johnson & Shamroukh, 2024).

RQ3. What Managerial Strategies Can Reduce Burnout While Improving Knowledge Transfer Effectiveness?

The literature consistently emphasizes that effective burnout management should extend beyond employee wellness programs and become an integral component of organizational knowledge management strategies. Organizations that simultaneously prioritize employee well-being and knowledge-sharing culture are more successful in sustaining organizational learning and innovation. Managers can implement this integration by fostering person-organization fit, which aligns individual needs and stressors with organizational objectives to mitigate work pressure (Zeng & Hu, 2024). Furthermore, implementing time-management training and conducting regular time audits enable employees to better align their role responsibilities with available resources, thereby reducing cognitive strain (Fastje et al., 2022).

The first strategic priority involves reducing excessive job demands through workload management, role clarity, flexible work arrangements, and sufficient recovery opportunities. These initiatives help preserve employees' psychological resources, enabling them to remain engaged in collaborative learning activities. Moreover, leaders should promote autonomy by fostering trust, which empowers personnel to modulate their tasks and mitigates the cognitive load that often leads to burnout (Westover, 2024). Additionally, leaders must prioritize institutionalizing social support systems and clear digital communication norms to prevent role ambiguity and minimize the risks associated with chronic psychosocial strain (Karakitsiou et al., 2025; Setyaningrum & Muafi, 2023).

Second, organizations should strengthen psychological safety by promoting open communication, encouraging constructive feedback, and normalizing learning from mistakes. Such environments encourage employees to exchange ideas freely, ask for assistance, and share valuable experiences without fear of negative evaluation. Integrating personalized recognition

programs further reinforces these inclusive cultures by acknowledging individual contributions to the collective knowledge base, which heightens engagement and bolsters psychological readiness (Nobles, 2025). Moreover, establishing structured feedback loops through real-time pulse surveys allows managers to proactively identify emerging stressors and implement targeted interventions before they escalate into systemic burnout (Chen et al., 2023).

Third, leadership development programs should equip managers with transformational leadership competencies. Leaders who demonstrate empathy, provide individualized support, and foster collaboration significantly reduce burnout while enhancing employees' willingness to transfer knowledge across organizational boundaries (Ajayi & Udeh, 2024; Bai, 2025). Furthermore, leveraging the Job Demands-Resources Model allows these leaders to systematically rebalance job requirements with available support, thereby fortifying long-term resilience and fostering a sustainable knowledge-sharing environment. By adopting this dual approach, leaders can effectively minimize hindrance demands that obstruct performance while bolstering engagement through the strategic enhancement of available resources (Lehtiniemi et al., 2023; Loffeld et al., 2025).

Fourth, organizations should invest in integrated knowledge management systems that leverage digital collaboration platforms. These technologies facilitate both explicit and tacit knowledge exchange while minimizing communication barriers associated with geographically dispersed or hybrid work environments. By digitizing workflows and facilitating seamless access to repositories, these platforms act as socio-technical enablers that reduce the cognitive effort required to locate expertise, thereby safeguarding employees from unnecessary stress. Furthermore, institutionalizing mentoring programs including reverse mentoring tandems fosters a culture of intergenerational knowledge exchange and reinforces the value of collective expertise (Fasbender et al., 2021).

Finally, organizations should establish reward systems that recognize collaborative behaviors rather than solely individual performance outcomes. Incentivizing mentoring, coaching, cross-functional collaboration, and knowledge sharing reinforces organizational learning and preserves valuable internal knowledge despite employee turnover or workplace stress. Moreover, peer mentoring initiatives serve as an effective mechanism for providing emotional support and professional guidance, which directly alleviates the psychological burden of demanding roles while ensuring critical knowledge continuity (Kmieciak, 2021). Additionally, implementing structural job crafting allows employees to tailor their tasks to align with personal strengths, which has been shown to improve psychological well-being and engagement (Prosperis, 2026).

Overall, the reviewed literature suggests that sustainable knowledge transfer effectiveness depends not only on technological infrastructure but also on organizational practices that protect employee well-being and cultivate collaborative workplace relationships. Consequently, firms that intentionally align human-centric connections with robust socio-technical systems can create a virtuous cycle that simultaneously drives innovation and creates a culture of continuous learning (Thomas, 2024). Future research should explore these dynamics across a wider geographical area and different sectors (Lužar et al., 2023).

5. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that employee burnout represents a significant psychological barrier to effective knowledge transfer within service-based organizations. Burnout does not only influence individual well-being and job performance but also disrupts organizational knowledge management processes by reducing employees' willingness and capacity to exchange valuable knowledge. The findings demonstrate that emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment contribute to weakened communication, declining interpersonal trust, and reduced participation in knowledge-sharing activities. Employees experiencing psychological exhaustion tend to adopt resource-preservation strategies, which limit discretionary behaviors such as mentoring, collaborative problem-solving, and sharing experiential knowledge.

Furthermore, the study highlights that knowledge transfer effectiveness depends not only on technological systems or organizational procedures but also on the psychological and social conditions that support employee interaction. Burnout can negatively affect tacit knowledge exchange because employees become less engaged in communication and collaborative learning processes. Therefore, organizations should view burnout management as an essential component of knowledge management strategies. By creating supportive work environments, strengthening employee resources, and maintaining psychological well-being, organizations can preserve knowledge continuity, enhance organizational learning, and sustain competitive advantage in increasingly dynamic service environments.

REFERENCES

- Abinbuhaybeha, M. M. S. (2023). Knowledge Transfer: A Critical Review of Research Approaches. *International Journal for Global Academic & Scientific Research*, 2(1), 41–52. <https://doi.org/10.55938/ijgasr.v2i1.37>
- Agarwal, U. A., & Anantatmula, V. (2021). Psychological Safety Effects on Knowledge Sharing in Project Teams. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 70(11), 3876–3886. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tem.2021.3087313>
- Ahmad, A. Y. A. B., Fraihat, B. A. M., Hamdan, M. N., Ayasrah, F. T., Alhawamdeh, M. M., & Al-Shakri, K. S. (2024). Examining the mediating role of organizational trust in the relationship between organizational learning and innovation performance: A study of information systems and computer science service firms. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, 12(4), 2317–2330. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.uscm.2024.6.001>
- Ajayi, F. A., & Udeh, C. A. (2024). Combating Burnout in The It Industry: A Review of Employee Well-Being Initiatives. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(4), 567–588. <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i4.1010>
- Alkhudhayr, A., & Al-Jabr, Q. M. (2024). Behind the Scenes: The Realities of Stress in Public Service Jobs. In *IntechOpen eBooks*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1006279>
- Arshad, B., Hassan, H., & Azam, A. (2024). The impact of employees' experience of high-performance work systems on innovative behavior in professional service firms. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1324474>
- Aslam, M. Y., Jaffery, H., & Zafar, H. A. (2026). Breaking the Silence: The Effects of Psychological Burnout and Psychological Safety on Employee Voice with the Moderating Role of Resilience. *The critical Review of Social Sciences Studies*, 4(1), 1777–1790. <https://doi.org/10.59075/a6hsp820>
- Azimi, R., Sulaie, S. A., Yazdanirad, S., Khoshakhlagh, A. H., Park, J., & Kazemian, F. (2024). The role of resilience as a key player in mitigating job burnout's impact on workplace safety. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1), 16925–16925. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-68042-1>
- Bai, Y. (2025). Transformation leadership with knowledge sharing and employee career growth: the role of self-efficacy and psychological capital. *UNC Libraries*. <https://doi.org/10.17615/wcbz-f293>
- Bari, M. W., Khan, Q., & Virgilio, F. D. (2025). Knowledge donation, hoarding, and hiding behaviors of high performers: Mediation role of deviant silence. *European Research on*

- Management and Business Economics*, 31(2), 100276–100276.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iiedeen.2025.100276>
- Bosak, J., Kilroy, S., Chênevert, D., & Flood, P. C. (2021). Examining the role of transformational leadership and mission valence on burnout among hospital staff. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness People and Performance*, 8(2), 208–227.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/joepp-08-2020-0151>
- Chen, X., Masukujjaman, M., Mamun, A. A., Gao, J., & Makhbul, Z. K. M. (2023). Modeling the significance of work culture on burnout, satisfaction, and psychological distress among the Gen-Z workforce in an emerging country. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02371-w>
- Chênevert, D., Kilroy, S., Johnson, K., & Fournier, P. (2021). The determinants of burnout and professional turnover intentions among Canadian physicians: application of the job demands-resources model. *BMC Health Services Research*, 21(1), 993–993.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06981-5>
- Clough, B. A., March, S., Chan, R. J., Casey, L. M., Phillips, R., & Ireland, M. (2017). Psychosocial interventions for managing occupational stress and burnout among medical doctors: a systematic review. *Systematic Reviews*, 6(1), 144–144.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-017-0526-3>
- Donate, M. J., Gómez, F. G., & González-Mohino, M. (2023). Solving task management conflict in hotel establishments through knowledge management tools: effects on innovation capabilities. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 27(11), 157–186.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-10-2022-0852>
- Fasbender, U., Gerpott, F. H., & Unger, D. (2021). Give and take? Knowledge exchange between older and younger employees as a function of generativity and development striving. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 25(10), 2420–2443.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-11-2020-0856>
- Fastje, F., Mesmer-Magnus, J., Guidice, R. M., & Andrews, M. C. (2022). Employee burnout: the dark side of performance-driven work climates. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness People and Performance*, 10(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1108/joepp-10-2021-0274>
- Fraboni, F., Paganin, G., Mazzetti, G., Guglielmi, D., & Pietrantoni, L. (2023). The Intricate Pathways From Empowering Leadership to Burnout: A Deep Dive Into Interpersonal Conflicts, Work-Home Interactions, and Supportive Colleagues. *Informing Science The International Journal of an Emerging Transdiscipline*, 26, 149–172.
<https://doi.org/10.28945/5178>

- Gkontelos, A., Vaiopoulou, J., & Stamovlasis, D. (2025). Applying job demands resources theory to innovative work behavior in early childhood education via structural equation modeling. *Discover Education*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00937-1>
- Głód, G., RACZYŃSKA, E., & SWAŁEK, A. (2023). Organizational learning and organizational effectiveness in family and non-family firms. *Scientific Papers of Silesian University of Technology Organization and Management Series*, 2023(181), 151–162. <https://doi.org/10.29119/1641-3466.2023.181.10>
- Groulx, P., Maisonneuve, F., Harvey, J., & Johnson, K. (2024). The ripple effect of strain in times of change: how manager emotional exhaustion affects team psychological safety and readiness to change. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1298104–1298104. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1298104>
- Guo, L., Cheng, K., Luo, J., & Zhao, H. (2021). Trapped in a loss spiral: how and when work alienation relates to knowledge hiding. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(20), 4004–4033. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2021.1937672>
- Hao, Q., Wei, K., & Zhang, B. (2022). How to attenuate the effects of abusive supervision on knowledge hiding: the neutralizing roles of coworker support and individual characteristics. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 26(7), 1807–1825. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-02-2021-0167>
- Hao, Q., Zhang, B., Shi, Y., & Yang, Q. (2022). How trust in coworkers fosters knowledge sharing in virtual teams? A multilevel moderated mediation model of psychological safety, team virtuality, and self-efficacy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 899142–899142. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.899142>
- He, P., Jiang, C., Xu, Z., & Shen, C. (2021). Knowledge Hiding: Current Research Status and Future Research Directions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 748237–748237. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.748237>
- Hong, J., Curado, C., & Henriques, P. L. (2022). Guest editorial: Learning organization, human resource management and sustainability: leading the future of organizations. *The Learning Organization*, 29(5), 421–427. <https://doi.org/10.1108/tlo-07-2022-285>
- Hu, R., Li, Y., Huang, J., Zhang, Y., Jiang, R., & Dunlop, E. (2023). Psychological capital and breakthrough innovation: The role of tacit knowledge sharing and task interdependence. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1097936–1097936. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1097936>

- Huang, H., & Wang, Q. (2024). Within digital collaborative teams, how can leaders promote productive knowledge sharing among members with diverse settings? *Digital Economy and Sustainable Development*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44265-023-00027-w>
- Iqbal, O., Ali, Z., & Azam, A. (2022). Exploring the underlying mechanism between fear of losing power and knowledge hiding. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 1069012–1069012. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1069012>
- Izhar. (2025). From Fairness to Fatigue: The Ripple Effect of Workplace Justice, Burnout & Alienation on Workers Knowledge Hiding & Performance. *Journal of Management Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.20547/jms.2014.2411203>
- Jamali, H., Kabirinaeini, M., & Elahi, Z. (2025). The effect of technology stress on knowledge concealment with the mediating role of job fatigue and moderating work autonomy. *Strategic Management of Organizational Knowledge*. <https://doi.org/10.47176/smok.2025.1850>
- Javed, I., Niazi, A., Nawaz, S., Ali, M., & Hussain, M. (2023). Impact of workplace bullying on work engagement among early career employees. *Monash University Research Portal (Monash University)*, 18(10). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285345>
- Jena, L. K., & Swain, D. (2021). How Knowledge-Hiding Behavior Among Manufacturing Professionals Influences Functional Interdependence and Turnover Intention. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 723938–723938. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.723938>
- Johnson, T., & Shamroukh, S. (2024). Predictive modeling of burnout based on organizational culture perceptions among health systems employees: a comparative study using correlation, decision tree, and Bayesian analyses. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1), 6083–6083. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-56771-2>
- Kao, C.-C., Wang, Y., & Wang, T.-H. (2025). Exploring the Relationship Between Competitive Psychological Climate and Knowledge Hiding Behaviors. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 2025(1). <https://doi.org/10.5465/amproc.2025.10104abstract>
- Karakitsiou, G., Plakias, S., Tsiakiri, A., & Kedraka, K. (2025). When Work Moves Home: Remote Work, Occupational Stress, Mental Health, Burnout and Employee Well-Being: Trends and Strategic Roadmap. *Psychology International*, 7(4), 96–96. <https://doi.org/10.3390/psycholint7040096>
- Karkavandi, M. A., Gallagher, H. C., Wang, P., Kyndt, E., Lusher, D., Block, K., & McKenzie, V. (2022). School staff wellbeing: A network-based assessment of burnout. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 920715–920715. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.920715>

- Kejžar, A., Dimovski, V., & Colnar, S. (2023). The impact of knowledge management on the quality of services in nursing homes. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 1106014–1106014. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1106014>
- Kim, H. S., & Wang, J. (2024). Silence in the workplace: what do we know from research? *European Journal of Training and Development*, 48(10), 56–83. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejtd-06-2024-0077>
- Kmiecik, R. (2021). Co-worker support, voluntary turnover intention and knowledge withholding among IT specialists: the mediating role of affective organizational commitment. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 17(3), 375–391. <https://doi.org/10.1108/bjm-03-2021-0085>
- Korman, J. V., Quaquebeke, N. V., & Tröster, C. (2021). Managers are Less Burned-Out at the Top: the Roles of Sense of Power and Self-Efficacy at Different Hierarchy Levels. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 37(1), 151–171. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-021-09733-8>
- Lainidi, O., Johnson, J., Griffin, B., Koutsimani, P., Mouratidis, C., Keyworth, C., & O'Connor, D. B. (2025). Associations between burnout, employee silence and voice: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychology and Health*, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2025.2509074>
- Lehtiniemi, K., Tossavainen, A., Auvinen, E., Herttälampi, M., & Feldt, T. (2023). Do intensified job demands predict burnout? How motivation to lead and leadership status may have a moderating effect. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1048487–1048487. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1048487>
- Llorca-Pellicer, M., Soto-Rubio, A., & Gil-Monte, P. R. (2021). Development of Burnout Syndrome in Non-university Teachers: Influence of Demand and Resource Variables. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 644025–644025. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.644025>
- Loffeld, T. A. C., Black, S. A., & Humle, T. (2025). From burnout to engagement: enhancing the wellbeing and performance of conservationists. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 12, 1567931–1567931. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2025.1567931>
- Lužar, M., Zoran, A. G., Markič, M., & Bukovec, B. (2023). Intergenerational Differences and Knowledge Transfer Among Slovenian Engineers. *Organizacija*, 56(1), 66–79. <https://doi.org/10.2478/orga-2023-0005>
- Mahapatra, M., & Ford, D. P. (2024). Technostress and disengagement from knowledge sharing: insights from pre-pandemic and mid-pandemic data sets. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 28(9), 2689–2711. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-08-2023-0711>

- Malik, M. F., Khwaja, M. G., Hanif, H., & Mahmood, S. (2023). The missing link in knowledge sharing: the crucial role of supervisor support- moderated mediated model. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 44(6), 771–790. <https://doi.org/10.1108/lodj-04-2023-0199>
- Mijakoski, D., Atanasovska, A., Bislimovska, D., Brborović, H., Brborović, O., Kezunović, L. C., Milošević, M., Минов, J., Önal, B., Pranjić, N., Rapas, L., Stoleski, S., Вангелова, К., Žaja, R., Bulat, P., Milovanović, A., & Karadžinska-Bislimovska, J. (2023). Associations of burnout with job demands/resources during the pandemic in health workers from Southeast European countries. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1258226–1258226. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1258226>
- Muhammad, R. S., & McCoy, C. (2024). Women corrections executives’ experiences with reciprocal trust and burnout symptoms: an integrated literature review. *International Journal of Environment Workplace and Employment*, 8(1), 21–43. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijewe.2024.10063612>
- Nakanishi, Y. (2024). Support and connect employees to facilitate learning. *The Learning Organization*, 31(5), 789–796. <https://doi.org/10.1108/tlo-07-2024-309>
- Nakash, M. (2024). Learning in the Flow of Work: Navigating the Convergence of Organizational Learning and Knowledge Management [Abstract]. *Informing Science and IT Education Conference*, 6–6. <https://doi.org/10.28945/5270>
- Naqshbandi, M. M., Meeran, S., Kim, M., & Mughal, F. (2023). The role of AMO HR practices and knowledge sharing in developing a learning organizational culture: evidence from the United Kingdom. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 28(4), 920–946. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-01-2023-0032>
- Nobles, C. (2025). Exploring Mitigative Strategies to Prevent Burnout in Cybersecurity. *International Conference on Cyber Warfare and Security*, 20(1), 323–331. <https://doi.org/10.34190/iccws.20.1.3347>
- Patel, P., Rammal, H. G., Ferreira, J. J., & Prikshat, V. (2021). Knowledge management, sharing and transfer in cross-national teams and the remote management of team members: the onsite-offshore phenomenon of service EMNEs. *UTS ePRESS (University of Technology Sydney)*, 9(4), 574–590. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jgm-03-2021-0020>
- Peng, M. Y., Xu, C., Zheng, R., & He, Y. (2023). The impact of perceived organizational support on employees’ knowledge transfer and innovative behavior: comparisons between Taiwan and mainland China. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02242-4>

- Petrů, G. J., Zychová, K., Drahotová, K., Kuralová, K., Stanislavská, L. K., & Pilař, L. (2023). Identifying the communication of burnout syndrome on the Twitter platform from the individual, organizational, and environmental perspective. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1236491–1236491. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1236491>
- Pluut, H., Ilieș, R., Su, R., Weng, Q., & Liang, A. X. (2021). How social stressors at work influence marital behaviors at home: An interpersonal model of work–family spillover. *Leiden Repository (Leiden University)*, 27(1), 74–88. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000298>
- Prosperis, M. D. (2026). Redesigning academic librarian roles for a post-crisis world: A role theory perspective on workload equity and occupational stress. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 52(2), 103222–103222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2026.103222>
- Rogozińska-Pawelczyk, A. (2024). The role of innovative human resource management practices, organizational support and knowledge worker effort in counteracting job burnout in the Polish business services sector. *International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health*, 37(2), 220–233. <https://doi.org/10.13075/ijomeh.1896.02381>
- Rubbab, U., & Khursheed, B. (2023). A Study on the Effect of Abusive Supervision on Knowledge Hiding: Mediated by Emotional Exhaustion and Moderated by Coworkers Support. *NUML International Journal of Business & Management*, 18(2). <https://doi.org/10.52015/nijbm.v18i2.187>
- Rupčić, N. (2024). Modalities for improving individual and organizational learning. *The Learning Organization*, 31(6), 1031–1038. <https://doi.org/10.1108/tlo-09-2024-310>
- Setyaningrum, R. P., & Muafi, M. (2023). Managing job burnout from workplace telepressure: A three way interaction. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 21. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v21i0.2151>
- Shaukat, R., & Khurshid, A. (2021). Woes of silence: the role of burnout as a mediator between silence and employee outcomes. *Personnel Review*, 51(5), 1570–1586. <https://doi.org/10.1108/pr-07-2020-0550>
- Shukla, A., Dubey, A. M., & Pandey, S. K. (2023). A Blended Approach to Organizational Learning in Indian Software Industry. *Vision The Journal of Business Perspective*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09722629231164850>
- Silva, S. V., Dean, C., & Balkin, R. S. (2024). A canonical correlation analysis of self-compassion, life balance, and burnout in counselors. *Journal of Counseling & Development*, 102(4), 431–444. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcad.12527>
- Singh, S. K., Mazzucchelli, A., Vessal, S. R., & Solidoro, A. (2021). Knowledge-based HRM practices and innovation performance: Role of social capital and knowledge sharing.

- Journal of International Management*, 27(1), 100830–100830.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2021.100830>
- Škerlavaj, M., Černe, M., & Batistič, S. (2023). Knowledge Hiding in Organizations: Meta-Analysis 10 Years Later. *Economic and Business Review*, 25(2), 79–102.
<https://doi.org/10.15458/2335-4216.1319>
- Sun, U. Y., Xu, H., Kluemper, D. H., McLarty, B. D., & Yun, S. (2024). Ethical leadership and knowledge sharing: A social cognitive approach investigating the role of self-efficacy as a key mechanism. *Journal of Business Research*, 174, 114531–114531.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2024.114531>
- Surguladze, S., Sochos, A., Chkonia, E., & Montgomery, A. (2023). Editorial: Burnout in the health, social care and beyond: Integrating individuals and systems. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 14, 1166060–1166060. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1166060>
- Tanpoco, M. R., & Cordova, W. (2023). Future-proofing ecological knowledge management practices in the workplace: Lessons from the pandemic. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 460, 5026–5026. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202346005026>
- Tanveer, M. (2024). Cracking the code: The influence of personality traits on knowledge management culture and sharing behavior. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, 12(4), 2547–2558. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.uscm.2024.5.015>
- Teoh, M. F., Wei, L. H., & Ahmad, N. H. (2023). Knowledge translation in the biomass industry: Examine the moderating effect of organizational collaborative environment. *Knowledge Management & E-Learning An International Journal*, 672–702.
<https://doi.org/10.34105/j.kmel.2023.15.037>
- Thomas, A. (2024). Digitally transforming the organization through knowledge management: a socio-technical system (STS) perspective. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 27(9), 437–460. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejim-02-2024-0114>
- Uríen, B., Rico, R., Demerouti, E., & Bakker, A. B. (2021). An Emergence Model of Team Burnout. *Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 37(3), 175–186.
<https://doi.org/10.5093/jwop2021a17>
- Wang, G., Li, J., Liu, H., & Zaggia, C. (2023). The association between workplace ostracism and knowledge-sharing behaviors among Chinese university teachers: The chain mediating model of job burnout and job satisfaction. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1030043–1030043.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1030043>

- Wang, Y., & Wang, C. (2023). The dark side of knowledge transfer: A visual analysis using VOSviewer. *E+M Ekonomie a Management*, 26(2), 122–139. <https://doi.org/10.15240/tul/001/2023-2-008>
- Wei, Z., Leng, S. X., & Ran, H. (2025). Trusted to share or tempted to hoard? Unpacking employee knowledge hiding through the interplay of leader trust and knowledge psychological ownership. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16, 1659249–1659249. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1659249>
- Wenying, Y. (2023). Understanding the Relationship between Burnout, Cynicism, and Organizational Learning Culture: A Conceptual Framework for Private Security Companies in Beijing, China. *Journal of Digitainability Realism & Mastery (DREAM)*, 2(6), 34–39. <https://doi.org/10.56982/dream.v2i06.133>
- Westover, J. (2024). How to Collaborate Without Burning Out. *Human Capital Leadership*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.70175/hclreview.2020.12.1.8>
- Wu, M., Li, W., Zhang, L., Zhang, C., & Zhou, H. (2023). Workplace suspicion, knowledge hiding, and silence behavior: A double-moderated mediation model of knowledge-based psychological ownership and face consciousness. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 982440–982440. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.982440>
- Xu, J., Chen, J., Lan, Q., & Zhao, M. (2025). To share or hide under performance pressure: the role of supervisor support in shaping subordinate knowledge management behaviors. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16, 1586812–1586812. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1586812>
- Zeng, P., & Hu, X. (2024). A study of the psychological mechanisms of job burnout: implications of person–job fit and person–organization fit. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 75–1351032. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1351032>
- Zhou, H., & Chen, J. (2021). How Does Psychological Empowerment Prevent Emotional Exhaustion? Psychological Safety and Organizational Embeddedness as Mediators. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 546687–546687. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.546687>
- Zhou, X. (2022). Mental health self-efficacy as a moderator between the relationship of emotional exhaustion and knowledge hiding: Evidence from music educational students. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 979037–979037. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.979037>