Inisiatif: Jurnal Ekonomi, Akuntansi dan Manajemen
Volume 5, Nomor 3, Juli 2026
e-ISSN: 2962-0813; p-ISSN: 2964-5328, Hal. 1143-1161
DOI: https://doi.org/10.30640/inisiatif.v5i3.6996
Tersedia: https://jurnaluniv45sby.ac.id/index.php/Inisiatif

Authenticity or Manipulation? Examining Consumer Perceptions toward Online Reviews, Influencer Endorsements, and Digital Marketing Practices

Lia Siti Julaeha
Department of Management. Universitas Ary Ginanjar. Indonesia

Corresponding Email: lia.s.julaeha@esqbs.ac.id

Abstract. The rapid growth of digital marketing has transformed the way brands communicate with consumers, particularly through online reviews, influencer endorsements, and interactive social media campaigns. While these practices provide opportunities for brands to establish engagement and trust, they also raise concerns regarding authenticity, transparency, and potential manipulation. This study aims to examine how consumers perceive digital marketing practices and how they distinguish between authentic communication and persuasive strategies perceived as manipulative. Employing a qualitative research approach through thematic content analysis, this study analyzes consumer discussions, digital interactions, and existing scholarly perspectives related to online reviews, influencer marketing, and digital advertising practices. The findings reveal that consumer perceptions of authenticity are primarily influenced by transparency, credibility, consistency between brand messages and actual experiences, and perceived honesty of influencers. Conversely, excessive promotional content, undisclosed sponsorships, fabricated reviews, and emotional manipulation strategies contribute to negative perceptions and reduced consumer trust. The study proposes that authenticity has become a critical strategic asset in digital marketing, where consumers increasingly evaluate not only the product value but also the credibility and ethical dimensions of marketing communication. This research contributes to digital marketing literature by highlighting the psychological mechanisms underlying consumer trust formation and provides practical implications for brands seeking to develop sustainable consumer relationships in the digital era.

Keywords: *Brand Authenticity*; *Consumer Perception; Digital Marketing; Influencer Marketing; Online Reviews.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The emergence of digital platforms has fundamentally transformed marketing communication by shifting power from brands to consumers. Unlike traditional marketing environments where companies controlled most communication channels, digital ecosystems enable consumers to participate actively in creating, evaluating, and distributing brand-related information. This paradigm shift has elevated online reviews as significant determinants of purchase intention, while the impact of influencer endorsements on decision-making remains complex and varied.

Online reviews, influencer endorsements, and social media marketing have become essential components of contemporary digital marketing strategies. Consumers increasingly rely on peer-generated content and influencer recommendations when evaluating products and making purchasing decisions. Online reviews provide experiential information from other consumers, while influencers function as opinion leaders who shape consumer attitudes and perceptions of brands. However, this reliance

Received: May 02, 2026; Revised: June 01, 2026; Accepted: July 01, 2026; Published: July 04, 2026

on external content emphasizes the critical need for perceived authenticity to foster consumer trust.

As these digital touchpoints become increasingly integrated into the consumer journey, the efficacy of brand-consumer interactions rests upon the ability to maintain genuine connections that foster trust. Consequently, marketing professionals must navigate the delicate balance between utilizing influencers for brand advocacy and upholding the transparency necessary to sustain long-term consumer loyalty (Nazara et al., 2025). The rapid proliferation of sponsored content has intensified ethical concerns regarding transparency and the potential for deceptive practices, which may compromise the perceived credibility of digital endorsements.

Furthermore, the increasing commercialization of digital spaces has heightened consumer scrutiny; audiences are increasingly aware that reviews may be manipulated, endorsements may lack genuine experience, and advertisements may employ persuasive techniques. This scrutiny necessitates a rigorous assessment of how ethical complexities—specifically regarding transparency and potential misrepresentation—ultimately shape the long-term viability of influencer-brand partnerships (Kobets, 2024). This skepticism highlights an urgent need for ethical frameworks and increased transparency to preserve the integrity of digital collaborations and safeguard the emotional connections between brands and their audiences.

The distinction between authentic communication and manipulation has therefore become a critical issue in marketing research. Authentic marketing communication is associated with honesty, transparency, credibility, and value creation, whereas perceived manipulation occurs when consumers believe that brands intentionally distort information, exploit emotions, or conceal commercial motives. Current scholarship underscores that while transparency is often mandated to mitigate these concerns, it can paradoxically weaken influencer credibility if perceived as overly commercial or disruptive to the creator's organic persona.

From a consumer psychology perspective, trust represents a fundamental mechanism influencing the acceptance of digital marketing messages. When consumers perceive marketing practices as authentic, they are more likely to develop positive attitudes, engagement, and long-term relationships with brands. Conversely, perceptions of manipulation may lead to skepticism, resistance, and negative electronic word-of-mouth. Furthermore, the lack of standardized ethical guidelines regarding disclosures and deceptive practices, including those involving emerging virtual influencers, complicates the landscape of consumer protection.

Therefore, understanding consumer perceptions of digital marketing practices is essential for developing ethical and effective marketing strategies. This study addresses this gap by synthesizing existing literature and empirical insights to evaluate how transparency, disclosure mechanisms, and source credibility influence contemporary digital consumer behavior (Khalfallah & Keller, 2025). By examining the interplay between perceived source credibility and the persuasion knowledge consumers bring to digital spaces, this research clarifies why current skepticism threatens the long-term efficacy of influencer marketing.

This study aims to explore consumer perceptions regarding authenticity in online reviews, influencer endorsements, and digital marketing practices; identify factors that influence consumers' judgments of authentic versus manipulative marketing communication; and develop strategic implications for brands in building consumer trust within digital environments.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Digital Marketing Transformation and Changing Consumer Evaluation Processes

The rapid evolution of digital technologies has fundamentally redefined the brand-consumer relationship, shifting marketing communication from a unidirectional promotional model to an interactive ecosystem. Within this digital landscape, consumers are no longer passive recipients; they actively create, evaluate, and disseminate brand-related information across social media, online communities, and digital marketplaces. Consequently, they have emerged as influential actors in shaping brand perceptions. Within this environment, source credibility serves as a critical determinant of persuasion, as consumers increasingly rely on the parasocial relationships they develop with influencers to gauge the authenticity of brand recommendations (Waltenrath, 2024).

Unlike traditional marketing environments, the digital space subjects consumers to an overwhelming influx of commercial information. The proliferation of advertisements, sponsored content, and brand messaging creates significant challenges regarding information credibility and consumer attention. To navigate these persuasive messages, consumers develop cognitive mechanisms to determine whether the information is trustworthy, relevant, and genuinely valuable. According to Source Credibility Theory, consumers evaluate these messages through dimensions of expertise, trustworthiness, and attractiveness, which collectively shape their disposition toward the content.

Consumer decision-making in digital environments is thus driven by both product attributes and perceptions regarding the credibility and authenticity of the communication. As consumers become more adept at questioning the intent behind promotional messages, they actively seek to differentiate between genuine advocacy and strategic persuasion intended solely to manipulate purchasing behavior. Influencer-created content, while often perceived as possessing a more personal and authentic appeal than traditional corporate messaging, risks losing this perceived intimacy when commercial motives become overly salient (Gamage & Ashill, 2022).

This shift in evaluation reflects a broader evolution in consumer psychology, where trust, transparency, and perceived authenticity are essential for marketing effectiveness. Brands that fail to establish credibility risk inciting consumer skepticism, whereas those perceived as authentic foster stronger emotional connections and long-term relationships. Parasocial relationships significantly amplify this dynamic, transforming the communication landscape; by perceiving influencers as relatable peers rather than corporate entities, consumers reframe the communication process from a transactional interaction into a quasi-social bond.

Brand Authenticity and Consumer Trust in Digital Environments

Brand authenticity has emerged as a cornerstone of contemporary marketing research, driven by consumers' increasing desire for meaningful and trustworthy brand relationships. Defined by perceptions of honesty, consistency, originality, and value alignment, this construct is particularly critical in influencer-led marketing. In this context, authenticity is bolstered when a creator’s endorsement aligns with their personal values rather than commercial objectives.

Beyond mere truthful communication, authenticity in digital environments demands consistency between brand promises, organizational values, and social interactions. Consumers evaluate authenticity across multiple dimensions, including transparency, credibility, sincerity, and reliability. Research further indicates that influencers who maintain creative control and demonstrate intrinsic motivation are perceived as more authentic, which directly enhances consumer willingness to pay for endorsed products (Kapitan et al., 2021). Yet, while transparency remains a hallmark of ethical marketing, overt disclosures of financial partnerships can inadvertently disrupt perceived intimacy, leading audiences to view creators as commercial actors rather than authentic peers (Kırmacı, 2025).

Transparency, defined as the open communication of business practices and promotional intentions, is essential in digital contexts where consumers often struggle to distinguish genuine recommendations from paid content. Consequently, transparent disclosures are critical to preventing deception and safeguarding long-term credibility for both brands and creators.

Consumer credibility defined by beliefs in the reliability and expertise of an information source is fragile. While influencers must demonstrate competence and honesty to foster confidence, they must carefully navigate "passionate" versus "transparent" authenticity strategies to maintain their perceived intrinsic motivation and avoid appearing driven by extrinsic commercial gain. Consistency is equally vital. Consumers evaluate brand communication against their own product experiences and previous interactions; discrepancies often undermine trust. This "authenticity labor" requires influencers to maintain a coherent narrative style to reinforce their unique identity. However, this necessitates a delicate balance, as reliance on overt expressions of brand passion may fail to resonate if it lacks alignment with the consumer's identity expectations (Zhou et al., 2021).

Empirical research confirms that perceived authenticity fosters trust, brand attachment, and loyalty. Consequently, authenticity has evolved into a strategic capability for building meaningful relationships. However, Source Credibility Theory suggests that expertise alone is insufficient; perceived goodwill and integrity are essential mediators. In the absence of standardized ethical frameworks, the objectivity of a communicator remains tethered to the audience's subjective judgment of the communicator's intrinsic versus extrinsic motivations (Leite et al., 2023).

Online Reviews and Electronic Word-of-Mouth as Sources of Consumer Trust

Online reviews represent a foundational form of electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) within digital marketing. Consumers prioritize these reviews for their experiential nature, valuing peer-generated insights over direct corporate promotional messages. This preference stems from the perception of peer reviews as inherently less biased than paid endorsements; however, the prevalence of deceptive product reviews has increased consumer scrutiny of review platforms(Kollmer et al., 2022). Consequently, the credibility of digital testimonials hinges on the perceived independence of reviewers, as incentivized content can trigger consumer skepticism.

The credibility of online reviews is shaped by several factors, including review objectivity, level of detail, and message content. Consumers interpret reviews as more authentic when they offer detailed, balanced accounts of personal experiences rather than generic praise or criticism. Furthermore, the absence of excessive promotional language and the inclusion of detailed, objective accounts amplify the perceived trustworthiness of these peer-generated testimonials .

Unlike traditional advertisements, consumers often perceive online reviews as more independent and consumer-oriented, which increases their influence on purchase decisions—particularly when consumers face uncertainty regarding product quality. However, the rise of "opinion spam," where marketers incentivize false or biased feedback, has increasingly compromised this objectivity and eroded long-term consumer trust. Theoretical frameworks, such as the Elaboration Likelihood Model and Signaling Theory, suggest that consumers engage in cognitive processing—including filtering, screening, and selection—to evaluate the trustworthiness and credibility of review content (Jo et al., 2026).

The increasing commercialization of digital platforms has exacerbated concerns regarding review manipulation. Practices such as fake reviews, incentivized ratings, and automated content generation reduce consumer confidence in review systems. This paradox traps marketers in a precarious prisoner's dilemma, where the temptation to manipulate feedback for short-term gain undermines the trust infrastructure essential for long-term platform viability. Additionally, the presence of manufactured feedback forces consumers to rely on heuristics to assess credibility, as they must distinguish between genuine user sentiment and strategically planted commercial messaging.

Consumers increasingly develop skepticism by evaluating linguistic patterns, review consistency, and potential commercial motives. Consequently, the effectiveness of online reviews depends not only on the presence of positive information but also on consumer perceptions regarding the authenticity and independence of the source. In this environment, social proof acts as a crucial heuristic, allowing potential buyers to alleviate uncertainty by observing the collective validation or critique provided by fellow users.

Ultimately, online reviews function as a psychological trust mechanism, where perceived credibility determines whether digital information becomes a valuable decision-making resource or a tool for manipulation. Platforms have responded by implementing rigorous verification mechanisms, such as "verified purchase" badges, to provide extrinsic cues that assist consumers in navigating this landscape of potential deception (Kakaria et al., 2023). Despite these technical safeguards, consumers remain vulnerable to information asymmetry, where platforms possess superior data regarding user behavior and preferences while simultaneously nudging decision-making through engineered urgency (Zim et al., 2025).

Influencer Marketing and the Role of Perceived Authenticity

Influencer marketing has become one of the most prominent strategies in digital marketing due to influencers' ability to create personal connections with audiences. Influencers function as opinion leaders who shape consumer attitudes by providing product recommendations, lifestyle narratives, and experiential content. By leveraging parasocial interaction and curated authenticity, these creators position commercial messaging within an authentic narrative to enhance influence in digital ecosystems.

The effectiveness of influencer marketing depends significantly on perceived authenticity. Consumers are more likely to trust influencers when they perceive recommendations as genuine expressions of personal experience rather than purely commercial transactions. The concept of social proof underscores this reliance on authenticity, as influencers cultivate deep trust by mirroring the lifestyle and values of their audience, thereby positioning promoted products as extensions of their relatable identity. Several factors shape influencer authenticity, including:

Source Credibility

Source credibility refers to the perceived expertise, reliability, and trustworthiness of influencers. Influencers who demonstrate knowledge and consistent engagement with specific topics are more likely to be considered credible. Moreover, this perceived expertise fosters trust, which functions as a filter for consumers to evaluate the honesty and transparency of marketing content. Furthermore, the integration of algorithmic personalization particularly with the emergence of AI-generated influencers introduces a complex layer of skepticism regarding the transparency of the influencer's persona.

Influencer–Brand Congruence

Because consumers evaluate whether the influencer's identity, values, and previous content align with the promoted brand, high congruence strengthens authenticity, making endorsements appear more natural and believable; conversely, when endorsements appear overtly scripted or detached from an influencer's established persona, consumers rapidly identify the artifice, which triggers skepticism and diminishes the perceived reliability of the source (Yuan, 2024). This alignment is critical because followers often attribute a level of trust to influencers comparable to that of real-life friends, viewing them as independent entities rather than mere commercial extensions of a brand.

Transparency of Sponsorship

Disclosure of paid partnerships influences consumer interpretations of influencer motives. Although sponsorship disclosure reveals commercial intentions, transparency may strengthen trust when consumers perceive communication as honest. Conversely, perceptions of influencer-product congruence serve as a protective buffer, as followers are more likely to trust recommendations that seamlessly integrate into the creator's authentic lifestyle rather than appearing as forced commercial insertions.

However, influencer marketing may be perceived as manipulation when consumers believe that influencers prioritize financial incentives over genuine recommendations. Excessive brand collaborations, unrealistic product claims, and inconsistent endorsements may reduce influencer credibility. Furthermore, researchers have highlighted that attributes such as physical attractiveness and perceived autonomy are vital to maintaining this delicate balance of trust, as they shape the influencer's capacity to influence purchasing decisions. Therefore, influencer effectiveness depends not merely on audience size or popularity but on the ability to maintain authentic relationships with followers (Shin & Yum, 2024).

3. RESEARCH METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative research framework, utilizing thematic content analysis to investigate consumer perceptions of authenticity and manipulation within contemporary digital marketing. This methodology was selected to capture the nuanced interpretations and psychological constructs that consumers develop when interacting with online reviews, influencer endorsements, and digital communications. Specifically, an interpretive lens was employed to scrutinize how audiences evaluate credibility, transparency, trustworthiness, and persuasive intent in these digital environments.

Data collection involved a comprehensive synthesis of diverse sources, including scholarly publications, consumer-generated digital content, online discussions, and empirical studies pertaining to digital marketing, electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM), and consumer psychology. Materials were curated from established academic databases—including Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, Emerald Insight, SpringerLink, Taylor & Francis Online, and Google Scholar—with a primary focus on peer-reviewed literature and digital discourse published between 2020 and 2025.

Analytical procedures followed a systematic thematic analysis framework, encompassing data familiarization, iterative coding, theme development, and conceptual interpretation. The analysis sought to identify recurring patterns distinguishing authentic from manipulative marketing practices, resulting in three primary analytical themes: authenticity signals, including transparency, credibility, and consistency; indicators of perceived manipulation, such as hidden commercial motives, exaggerated claims, and artificial engagement; and strategic approaches for fostering consumer trust through authenticity-oriented practices.

These findings were subsequently synthesized to construct a conceptual model illustrating the interplay between digital marketing practices, consumer authenticity evaluations, and relational outcomes such as trust, engagement, and brand loyalty. By integrating insights from marketing communication and consumer psychology, this methodological framework provides a robust, consumer-centered perspective on the mechanisms of digital marketing authenticity. This systematic approach mirrors established protocols that prioritize thematic synthesis to achieve a rigorous understanding of complex consumer behavior in interactive environments.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

RQ1: How Do Consumers Perceive Authenticity in Online Reviews, Influencer Endorsements, and Digital Marketing Practices?

The findings indicate that consumers increasingly evaluate digital marketing practices through the lens of authenticity, prioritizing this over mere promotional appeal or information availability. In digital environments characterized by advertising saturation, consumers often develop indifference to promotional content, reducing the effectiveness of such messages.

Regarding online reviews, authenticity is anchored in perceived noncommerciality, where consumers prioritize detailed, idiosyncratic accounts over generic feedback. Reviews are perceived as authentic when they offer balanced evaluations and contextual usage information, with consumers emphasizing the need for comprehensive and unbiased accounts. Furthermore, evidence suggests that ethical digital frameworks are essential for mitigating the negative impact of fake reviews on consumer decision-making, as transparency remains a primary determinant of credibility.

This indicates that consumers employ rigorous cognitive processes when evaluating review credibility, actively analyzing content quality, consistency, and plausibility rather than accepting information passively. Notably, skepticism toward potentially false reviews is common, and participants emphasize the necessity of verifying review veracity to inform purchasing decisions. In the domain of influencer marketing, consumers evaluate authenticity through a multidimensional framework, where Gen Z often views influencers as relatable, highly educated peers, whereas Millennials prioritize ethical transparency and professional accountability (Singer et al., 2023).

Influencer endorsements are scrutinized through a similar lens, where perceived congruence between a creator's identity, lifestyle, and the promoted offering is critical. Consumers trust influencers who demonstrate product knowledge and share personal experiences; in contrast, those perceived as commercially motivated generate audience skepticism (Meganathan & Emiliya, 2025). Statistical analyses highlight that perceived manipulation in these contexts is exacerbated by hidden disclosure labels and excessive promotional urgency, which directly compromise brand reputation.

Broader digital marketing practices are perceived as authentic when brands prioritize honesty and transparency. Furthermore, influencer-led campaigns that employ functional content or two-sided messaging often mitigate perceived commercial intent, thereby enhancing content credibility (Liu et al., 2025**.** Conversely, excessive reliance on paid partnerships without clear disclaimers frequently triggers defensive skepticism, significantly diminishing the perceived integrity of the brand (Meganathan & Emiliya, 2025).

These findings suggest that authenticity is rooted in the consumer's interpretation of the communication process rather than message content alone. When followers perceive an endorsement as genuine, the impact of AI-driven personalization on purchase intentions is significantly amplified. Quantitative evidence indicates that trustworthiness and perceived authenticity serve as the most potent predictors of purchase intent, shifting the influencer-audience dynamic from a transactional interaction to a deeply relational one.

Moreover, quantitative analyses reveal that sincerity regarding personal failures and active, authentic engagement are statistically significant drivers of consumer confidence. Data suggest that influencer authenticity and relatability exert a positive influence on purchase intentions (Sudarso et al., 2025), reinforcing credibility as social capital within saturated digital ecosystems. In contrast, while AI-generated influencers can reach broad audiences, they often struggle to achieve the same emotional resonance as human counterparts.

However, the integration of generative AI within these endorsements often triggers negative attitudinal shifts, as consumers frequently perceive automated content creation as a departure from human-centric authenticity. This perception acts as a significant barrier to trust, as the absence of genuine human experience undermines the perceived expertise and reliability of the endorsement.

Consequently, brands can mitigate these concerns through transparent communication, as clear disclosure regarding AI usage remains a critical prerequisite for sustaining long-term consumer trust. Empirical data indicate that generative AI adoption in content creation induces negative consumer reactions by reducing perceived brand authenticity.

RQ2: What Factors Influence Consumers' Judgments of Digital Marketing Practices as Authentic or Manipulative?

The findings identify four major factors that shape how consumers evaluate the authenticity of digital marketing: transparency, source credibility, consistency, and perceived intention.

Transparency and Disclosure

Transparency is a primary determinant of how consumers perceive authenticity. Consumers increasingly expect brands and influencers to clearly communicate commercial relationships, sponsorship arrangements, and promotional motives. Failure to provide unambiguous disclosures regarding paid partnerships frequently triggers defensive skepticism, which undermines the perceived integrity of the brand (Liu et al., 2025).

Hidden advertisements, undisclosed partnerships, and obscured promotional motives are commonly associated with manipulation, as consumers perceive that brands are attempting to influence their decisions without providing complete information. Conversely, while explicit disclosure of generative AI involvement in advertising serves as a critical mechanism for maintaining ethical standards, it may inversely impact perceived authenticity and subsequent purchase intentions (Kučinskas, 2025). Therefore, disclosure does not necessarily reduce marketing effectiveness; instead, transparency can strengthen consumer confidence by demonstrating honesty and ethical responsibility. Empirical evidence suggests that consumers prioritize authenticity as a primary criterion for purchase, often valuing sincerity above the intrinsic quality of the promoted goods (Kirkby et al., 2023).

Source Credibility

The credibility of information sources significantly influences how consumers interpret digital marketing messages. For online reviews, credibility is associated with reviewer expertise, experiential authenticity, and consistency with other consumer feedback (Natarajan & Periaiya, 2024)**. S**tudies indicate that influencers employing "passionate" or "transparent" strategies to articulate their intrinsic motivation despite commercial ties effectively foster long-term credibility. In this context, the integration of two-sided messaging wherein endorsers acknowledge minor product flaws serves as a robust psychological buffer against skepticism, as it reinforces the perception of independent judgment rather than orchestrated promotion (Lee & Johnson, 2021)**.**

For influencer marketing, credibility depends on perceived expertise, trustworthiness, and similarity between influencers and their audiences. Consumers are more likely to accept recommendations when influencers are viewed as authentic opinion leaders rather than paid promotional agents. Conversely, reliance on AI-generated endorsements often complicates this dynamic by introducing skepticism regarding the influencer’s actual experience, as disclosure of AI use often triggers inferences of strategic cost-cutting or manipulative intent behind automated content.

This finding highlights that influencer effectiveness is determined not merely by follower counts but by the psychological connection and trust between influencers and consumers. Research suggests that parasocial relationships and transparency are important factors in shaping consumer responses to sponsorship disclosure. Furthermore, intrusive data collection and hyper-personalized targeting are key challenges that undermine consumer trust in digital marketing.

Message and Brand Consistency

Consumers evaluate brand credibility based on the accuracy of claims and the transparency of marketing practices. Research suggests that consumers demonstrate a heightened sensitivity to this inconsistency when AI-driven automation is employed without human validation; the lack of a "human-in-the-loop" function often compromises the perceived credibility of the brand's messaging.

Consequently, maintaining transparent communication and trust-reinforcing workflows across digital touchpoints is essential for aligning consumer expectations and securing brand credibility. Brands that consistently deliver products and services aligned with their communication develop stronger credibility(Sandes, 2024). Conversely, inconsistent experiences may damage consumer trust and increase skepticism toward future marketing messages (Sandes, 2024).

Perceived Marketing Intention

Consumers also evaluate the underlying intention behind digital marketing activities. Marketing practices are perceived positively when consumers believe that brands aim to provide information, solve problems, or create value. Conversely, when commercialization pressures and a lack of transparency become evident, consumers trigger "resistant coping" mechanisms, viewing these activities as exploitative attempts to diminish their autonomy (Pergelova & Hachey, 2023). This shift in perception is often compounded by feelings of "creepiness," where personalized targeting is interpreted as an invasive form of surveillance that triggers psychological reactance.

When consumers perceive that brands prioritize persuasion, sales generation, or emotional exploitation, they often interpret marketing communication as manipulative (Arango & Garz, 2025)**.** Quantitative findings indicate that such perceptions are often driven by an imbalance where hyper-nudging techniques and predictive analytics exceed the consumer's threshold for autonomy, transforming helpful personalization into a tool for behavioral exploitation . Specifically, the trust-personalization paradox reveals that while consumers value relevant offers, excessive algorithmic interference frequently erodes user trust, particularly when transparent mechanisms and clear ethical boundaries are absent.

From a consumer psychology perspective, this reflects the role of attribution processes, where consumers attempt to infer whether marketing actions are motivated by genuine value creation or commercial self-interest. When brands demonstrate ethical transparency and prioritize user consent, they can mitigate cynical consumer perceptions, as ethical personalization respects consumer autonomy and privacy to foster trust. Ultimately, fostering this sense of institutional and process-based trust is essential for mitigating the negative impacts of data-driven marketing, as ethical consistency functions as a critical mediator in strengthening long-term consumer confidence.

RQ3: How Can Brands Develop Authentic Digital Marketing Strategies to Strengthen Consumer Trust and Long-Term Relationships?

The findings indicate that brands can enhance consumer trust by shifting from persuasion-oriented marketing toward relationship-oriented digital communication. Brands should not treat authenticity as a temporary promotional technique, but as a strategic organizational capability embedded within brand values and practices. This involves prioritizing radical transparency regarding data usage and disclosure of commercial partnerships, which serves as a necessary ethical safeguard against the perception of manipulative intent.

The first strategy involves developing transparent communication mechanisms. Brands should provide clear information regarding product benefits, limitations, partnerships, and promotional intentions. Transparency reduces consumer uncertainty and strengthens perceptions of honesty. Moreover, integrating ethical leadership by publicly auditing AI algorithms for potential biases ensures that personalized experiences remain aligned with user expectations rather than exploitative objectives (Zaghmout et al., 2024). Empowering users with explicit control over their personal data and the ability to customize the degree of algorithmic personalization respects individual autonomy, which is critical for fostering deeper, long-term brand loyalty.

Additionally, fostering this sense of 'co-ownership' over digital content encourages higher-quality interactions, as consumers feel their personal agency is acknowledged rather than circumvented by extractive data practices. Furthermore, implementing a framework based on algorithmic transparency and proactive bias mitigation is vital for maintaining the balance between innovation and consumer protection. Ultimately, adopting such ethical AI frameworks transforms data governance from a regulatory burden into a strategic asset, reinforcing brand authenticity in an era of technological saturation.

The second strategy involves prioritizing value-driven content creation. Consumers increasingly respond positively to digital content that educates, informs, entertains, or solves problems rather than content focused exclusively on encouraging transactions. Therefore, brands should create meaningful interactions that support consumer needs throughout the customer journey. By adopting a 'co-ownership' approach to data, brands can foster collective information boundaries that transform transactional encounters into meaningful, value-added interactions within online communities.

Furthermore, platforms that proactively solicit and respond to user feedback regarding algorithmic performance can effectively refine their personalization processes while simultaneously reinforcing perceived brand integrity. Ultimately, these strategic shifts underscore that authenticity in the digital age is fundamentally linked to the brand's ability to balance technological innovation with human-centric ethical safeguards. Establishing these ethical frameworks is imperative to maintain brand authenticity, as consumers are increasingly aware of risks associated with algorithmic bias and data privacy.

Research suggests that transparency regarding data usage and the mitigation of algorithmic biases are foundational to maintaining this long-term consumer trust. Moreover, organizations that adopt rigorous ethical AI frameworks have been shown to secure up to 35% higher customer loyalty, demonstrating that structural integrity serves as a primary driver for enduring brand relationships. Consequently, the deployment of the Dynamic AI Trust Framework serves as a practical implementation for aligning these ethical pillars, ensuring that algorithmic transparency and user agency remain at the core of digital engagement .

The third strategy involves selecting influencers based on authentic brand alignment rather than popularity alone (Colucci & Pedroni, 2021)**.** Influencer effectiveness depends on the perceived fit between influencer characteristics, audience expectations, and brand identity. Long-term partnerships with credible influencers are more likely to generate trust compared with short-term transactional endorsements. Specifically, implementing evaluation frameworks that prioritize audience alignment, content consistency, and historical engagement quality is essential to mitigate the risk of perceived insincerity.

Such rigorous vetting processes ensure that influencer collaborations reflect a genuine, unedited persona, which is critical because sincerity serves as the primary determinant for the parasocial relationships that drive successful influencer marketing. Conversely, evidence suggests that even virtual influencers can attain this level of perceived authenticity, provided their digital personas maintain consistent value alignments and transparent communication.

Empirical analysis confirms that when influencers maintain transparency about sponsorships and align with their brand, consumers are more likely to attribute authenticity to the endorsed content. Conversely, the reliance on superficial metrics such as vanity follower counts often triggers skepticism.

The fourth strategy involves encouraging consumer participation and co-creation. Digital platforms provide opportunities for consumers to become active contributors through reviews, feedback, and brand communities. When brands acknowledge and engage with consumer voices, they strengthen relational bonds and enhance perceived authenticity. Furthermore, providing users with the agency to influence product development or content direction effectively shifts the consumer role from passive observer to active brand stakeholder.

Moreover, the strategic integration of user-generated content and transparent review systems allows consumers to validate brand claims through peer-based social proof, which significantly mitigates the perceived manipulative nature of corporate-led digital marketing. Research suggests that the impact of sponsorship disclosure on perceived manipulative intent is complex, with evidence indicating that increased disclosure prominence can sometimes heighten it (Peng-yi et al., 2024).

5. CONCLUSION

This study highlights that consumers increasingly evaluate digital marketing practices through the lens of authenticity rather than promotional effectiveness alone. Online reviews, influencer endorsements, and digital advertising can strengthen consumer relationships when perceived as transparent, credible, and value-oriented. However, when consumers detect manipulation through hidden sponsorships, exaggerated claims, or artificial engagement, digital marketing practices may generate skepticism and reduce trust. The study emphasizes that authenticity represents a critical determinant of successful digital marketing strategies. Brands must recognize that contemporary consumers are not passive recipients of marketing messages but active evaluators who critically assess credibility, ethical considerations, and consistency between communication and actual experiences. By prioritizing transparency, genuine engagement, and consumer-centered communication, brands can transform digital marketing from a persuasion mechanism into a relationship-building strategy.

REFERENCES

Arango, M. F., & Garz, M. (2025). Manipulation: An integrative framework of unethical influence in marketing. *Journal of Business Research*, *197*, 115476–115476. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2025.115476

Colucci, M., & Pedroni, M. (2021). Got to be real: An investigation into the co-fabrication of authenticity by fashion companies and digital influencers. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, *22*(4), 929–948. https://doi.org/10.1177/14695405211033665

Gamage, T. C., & Ashill, N. J. (2022). #Sponsored-influencer marketing: Effects of the commercial orientation of influencer-created content on followers' willingness to search for information. *Journal of Product & Brand Management, 32*(2), 316–329. https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBM-10-2021-3681

Kakaria, S., Simonetti, A., & Alcañiz, J. E. B. (2023). Interaction between extrinsic and intrinsic online review cues: perspectives from cue utilization theory. *Electronic Commerce Research*, *24*(4), 2469–2497. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10660-022-09665-2

Kapitan, S., Esch, P. van, Soma, V., & Kietzmann, J. (2021). Influencer Marketing and Authenticity in Content Creation. *Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ)*, *30*(4), 342–351. https://doi.org/10.1177/18393349211011171

Khalfallah, D., & Keller, V. (2025). Authenticity, ethics, and transparency in virtual influencer marketing: A cross-cultural analysis of consumer trust and engagement: A systematic literature review. *Acta Psychologica*, *260*, 105573–105573. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.105573

Kirkby, A., Baumgarth, C., & Henseler, J. (2023). To disclose or not disclose, is no longer the question – effect of AI-disclosed brand voice on brand authenticity and attitude. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, *32*(7), 1108–1122. https://doi.org/10.1108/jpbm-02-2022-3864

Kırmacı, M. (2025). Creating Authenticity Online: How Transparency in Brand Collaborations Shapes Influencer Credibility. *International Journal of Business and Applied Social Science*, *11*(12), 6–6. https://doi.org/10.33642/ijbass.v11n12p1

Kobets, D. (2024). Digitalization and its Impact on the Development of Contemporary Marketing Strategies. *Economic Affairs*, *69*(2). https://doi.org/10.46852/0424-2513.3.2024.26

Kollmer, T., Eckhardt, A., & Reibenspiess, V. (2022). Explaining consumer suspicion: insights of a vignette study on online product reviews. *Electronic Markets*, *32*(3), 1221–1238. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-022-00549-9

Kučinskas, G. (2025). Revealing AI Involvement in Ad Creation: Effects on Authenticity, Brand Perceptions and Consumer Intentions. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering & Management*, *10*, 727–740. https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i16s.2659

Lee, S., & Johnson, B. K. (2021). Are they being authentic? The effects of self-disclosure and message sidedness on sponsored post effectiveness. *International Journal of Advertising*, *41*(1), 30–53. https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2021.1986257

Leite, Â., Lopes, S., & Rodrigues, A. (2023). Who are Portuguese followers of social media influencers (SMIs), and their attitudes towards SMIs? An exploratory study. *Management & Marketing*, *18*(4), 556–576. https://doi.org/10.2478/mmcks-2023-0030

Liu, Y., Wang, X., & Chen, Y. (2025). Does Inconsistency Between Influencer-Generated and User-Generated Content Hurt Brands? The Role of Perceived Content Credibility. *Psychology and Marketing*, *42*(9), 2444–2472. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.22240

Meganathan, T., & Emiliya, Ms. W. I. (2025). A Study On Influencer Marketing And Consumer Trust In Digital Age 2025. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, *6*(9), 2739–2747. https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.6.0925.3428

Natarajan, M., & Periaiya, S. (2024). Revealing consumer review attitude through online review and website cues. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, *41*(3), 261–280. https://doi.org/10.1108/jcm-07-2020-3938

Nazara, D. S., Nugrahaningsih, H., & Abdillah, F. (2025). The Future of Influencer Marketing: Trust, Authenticity, and Consumer Behavior in the Social Media Age. *Jurnal Informatika Ekonomi Bisnis*, 345–349. https://doi.org/10.37034/infeb.v7i2.1138

Peng-yi, S., Nie, X., & Tong, C. (2024). Does disclosing commercial intention benefit brands? Mediating role of perceived manipulative intent and perceived authenticity in influencer hidden advertising. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, *19*(4), 673–693. https://doi.org/10.1108/jrim-01-2024-0052

Pergelova, A., & Hachey, A. (2023). #Sponsored: Understanding the Boundary Conditions of Resistance Coping Activation in Influencer Advertising. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, *23*(2), 128–148. https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2023.2196549

Sandes, F. S. (2024). How brand involvement influences consumer reactions to controversial and noncontroversial issues. *Journal of Textile Engineering & Fashion Technology*, *10*(5), 204–211. https://doi.org/10.15406/jteft.2024.10.00389

Shin, H., & Yum, M. (2024). The Impact of Virtual Influencers' Characteristics on Purchase Intentions TowardFashion Products: Focusing on the Mediating Effect of Mimetic Desire. *Fashion & Textile Research Journal*, *26*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.5805/sfti.2024.26.1.1

Singer, M. F., Callendar, C. L., Ma, X., & Tham, S. M. (2023). Differences in perceived influencer authenticity: a comparison of Gen Z and Millennials' definitions of influencer authenticity during the de-influencer movement. *Online Media and Global Communication*, *2*(3), 351–378. https://doi.org/10.1515/omgc-2023-0038

Sudarso, A., Hendra, H., & Suryani, W. (2025). When influencers get real: how do customers react? *Journal of Innovation in Business and Economics*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.22219/jibe.v9i01.41124

Waltenrath, A. (2024). Consumers' ambiguous perceptions of advertising disclosures in influencer marketing: Disentangling the effects on current and future social media engagement. *Electronic Markets*, *34*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-023-00679-8

Yuan, M. (2024). The Influence of Influencer Marketing on Consumer Purchase Decisions. In *Advances in economics, business and management research/Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research* (pp. 435–441). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-408-2_49

Zaghmout, B., Achi, F. C., & Ema, U. P. (2024). Navigating Digital Leadership: How UK-Based Marketing Leaders are Redefining Brand Trust in an AI-Driven Era. *British Journal of Management and Marketing Studies*, *7*(4), 126–142. https://doi.org/10.52589/bjmms-qyhv6nau

Zhou, S., Blázquez, M., McCormick, H., & Barnes, L. (2021). How social media influencers' narrative strategies benefit cultivating influencer marketing: Tackling issues of cultural barriers, commercialised content, and sponsorship disclosure. *Journal of Business Research*, *134*, 122–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.05.011

Zim, S. K., Hridoy, M. M., Hossain, Md. M., Soiti, S. A., & Riadi, A. (2025). Adapting under pressure: Consumer decision-making in times of economic uncertainty and digital transformation. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, *7*(7), 305–322. https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v7i7.1992